Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 TEHRAN 00692 230849Z

2.1

ACTION NEA-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 FEA-01 ERDA-05 AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00

CIEP-01 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-07 FPC-01 H-02 INR-07

INT-05 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OMB-01 PM-04 SAM-01 OES-03

SP-02 SS-15 STR-04 TRSE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00 USIE-00

INRE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 /086 W

----- 119259

O 230832Z JAN 76

FM AMEMBASSY TEHRAN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 5263

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE TEHRAN 0692

E.O.11652: N/A TAGS: ENRG, IR

SUBJ: NIOC/CONSORTIUM NEGOTIATIONS

- 1. NOIC HAS ASKED US WHETHER THERE IS ANY REASON THAT USG WOULD OBJECT TO A "NEW AGREEMENT" BETWEEN NIOC AND THE CONSORTIUM MEMBERS, AS OPPOSED TO A "REVISION OF THE 1973 PURCHASE AGREEMENT." QUESTION, AS WE UNDERSTAND IT, IS ONE OF FORM, NOT OF SUBSTANCE: THAT IS, GIVEN THAT CONTENT OF AGREEMENT WOULD BE SAME IN EITHER CASE, WOULD ONE OF THE ABOVE NAMES IN PRINCIPLE BE MORE OBJECTIONABLE TO USG THAN THE OTHER?
- 2. WE HAVE TOLD NIOC THAT WE CAN SEE ABSOLUTELY NO DIFFERENCE IN PRINCIPLE AND NO REASON FOR USG TO OBJECT TO THE TITLE. BACKGROUND TO THIS IS THAT NIOC, APPARENTLY ON INSTRUCTIONS OF SHAH, INSISTS THAT IT IS NEGOTIATING "NEW AGREEMENT" WHILE MEMBERS INSIST THAT THEY ARE NEGOTIATING "REVISION OF THE OLD." MEMBERS HAVE SUGGESTED TO NIOC THAT ONE REASON FOR THEIR POSITION IS POTENTIAL USG OBJECTION TO THEIR SIGNING "NEW AGREEMENT."
- 3. WHILE THERE MUST BE MORE BEHIND THIS RATHER STRANGE QUESTION, LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 TEHRAN 00692 230849Z

WE HAVE SO FAR BEEN UNABLE TO UNDERSTAND, EITHER FROM EMBERS' REP

OR TFROM NIOC, WHAT THIS "MORE" MAY BE. NIOC, HOWEVER, HAS ASKED US URGENTLY TO OBTAIN CLEAR ANSWER TO QUESTION OF PARA 1 ABOVE. WOULD APPRECIATE DEPRTMENT'S GIVING US CLEAR AS POSSIBLE ANSWER ASAP. PLEASE REPLY NIACT IMMEDIATE. HELMS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: AGREEMENTS, SALES, PETROLEUM, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS, CONSORTIUMS, NEGOTIATIONS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 23 JAN 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: morefirh
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976TEHRAN00692

Document Number: 1976TEHRAN00692
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A

Film Number: D760026-0132

From: TEHRAN

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760126/aaaaawin.tel Line Count: 66

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION NEA

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: morefirh

Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: Review Date: 09 JUN 2004

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a Review History: RELEASED <09 JUN 2004 by GarlanWA>; APPROVED <09 JUN 2004 by morefirh>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: NIOC/CONSORTIUM NEGOTIATIONS

TAGS: ENRG, IR, US, NIOC To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006